



HELIS

IUTAM Symposium: Helicity, Structures and Singularity in Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Venezia, Italy, April 11<sup>th</sup> 2016

# Magnetic helicity conservation in a solar active event

<u>É. Pariat<sup>1</sup>, G. Valori<sup>2</sup>, P. Démoulin<sup>1</sup> & K. Dalmasse<sup>3</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup> LESIA, CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, PSL\*, UPMC, Univ. D. Diderot, France <sup>2</sup> MSSL, University College London, UK <sup>3</sup> CISL/HAO, National Center for Atmospheric Research, CO, USA

# The Sun is celebrating our meeting !





### SDO/ AIA & HMI ; 11/04/2016 @ 11H40 UT



# Magnetic Helicity in Solar Physics

- High interest in solar physics for last 20 yrs
  - x2 ref. pub. /10 years
  - conserved quantity can be tracked
  - complementary descriptions/ understanding of observed phenomena



- Magnetic helicity conservation is the "raison d'être" of Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs)
  - Conjecture (Rust 94, Low 96) : to limit the buildup of magnetic helicity in the corona, magnetic helicity has to be ejected → CMEs generation
- Goal of this study: quantify magnetic helicity conservation in numerical simulations of solar active events, and more generally in 3D magnetic field datasets.



# Taylor conjecture

- Magnetic energy invariant in ideal MHD, when field lines cannot reconnect.
- From laboratory plasma experiment, Taylor (1974) conjectured: even in nonideal MHD magnetic helicity should be well conserved.
  - Magnetic energy cascades to small scales where it is dissipated vs helicity cascades to large scales (Ji et al. 95, Heidbrink & Dang 00).
  - In resistive MHD, helicity dissipation is bounded and slow compared to energy dissipation (Berger 84, Berger 99)
- However non-ideal helicity conservation has yet not been tested in general conditions, i.e. in 3D, active-like conditions, no periodicity ... (e.g. Kusano et al. 94, Hu et al. 97, Shangbin et al. 13)



11/04/16 - IUTAM Symposium - E. Pariat

# Relative magnetic helicity

 $(\mathbf{B}_{p} \cdot \mathbf{dS})|_{\partial \mathcal{V}} = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{dS})|_{\partial \mathcal{V}}$ 

- Strict definition of magnetic helicity useless for numerous applications to natural plasmas
  - e.g. solar corona boundaries threaded by magnetic fields
- → Use of relative magnetic helicity (Berger & Field 1984, Finn & Antonsen 1985)

$$H_{\mathcal{V}} = \int_{\mathcal{V}} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}_{p}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{p}) \, d\mathcal{V} \,_{\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}}$$

with boundary condition : Reference field with same distribution

- Recent dev. of methods to compute relative helicity in general 3D datasets:
  - Using Coulomb gauge: Thalmann et al. 11, Rudenko & Myshyakov 11, Yang et al. 13
  - Using DeVore gauge (A<sub>z</sub>=A<sub>p,z</sub>=0) : Valori et al. 12, Moraitis et al. 14
- Benchmarking performed by ISSI team on "Helicity estimations in models and observations"



**SDO 171 A ; B**<sub>11</sub> + PFSS



### • First phase: quasi-ideal MHD

- reconnection is topologically inhibited
- helicity/energy storage by bottom boundary motions

## Second phase: non-ideal MHD

- impulsive energy release by reconnection
- ejection of helicity = generation of a solar jet
- 2 distinct phases: test helicity conservation in different conditions



# Method

- In a given system, we compare the volume variation of relative magnetic helicity with its flux at the boundaries of the system
  - Derive the time variation of relative helicity without any assumption on the gauges

# Time<br/>variation<br/>of relative<br/>magnetic<br/>helicity $\frac{dH}{dt} = -2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, d\mathcal{V} + 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_p \, d\mathcal{V}$ Helicity variation and flux<br/>of the reference field $\frac{dH}{dt} = -2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, d\mathcal{V} + 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_p \, d\mathcal{V}$ $\mathbf{Helicity variation and flux of the reference field}$ $\frac{dH}{dt} = -2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, d\mathcal{V} + 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_p \, d\mathcal{V}$ $\mathbf{Helicity variation and flux of the reference field}$ $\frac{dH}{dt} = -2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, d\mathcal{V} + 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_p \, d\mathcal{V}$ $\mathbf{Helicity variation and flux of the reference field}$ $\frac{dH}{dt} = -2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, d\mathcal{V} + 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_p \, d\mathcal{V}$ $\mathbf{Helicity variation and flux of the reference field}$ $\frac{dH}{dt} = -2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, d\mathcal{V} + 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_p \, d\mathcal{V}$ $\mathbf{Helicity variation and flux of the reference field}$ $\frac{dH}{\partial t} = -2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A}_p \cdot d\mathbf{S}$ $\frac{\partial (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}_p)}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S} - 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}_p \cdot d\mathbf{S}$ $\frac{\partial (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}_p)}{\partial \mathcal{V}} \cdot d\mathbf{S} - 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A}_p) \cdot d\mathbf{S} - 2 \int_{\mathcal{V}} (\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{A}_p) \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$

Flux of helicity of the studied field

- Measure the difference between helicity variations in V& helicity flux through the boundary sides S, i.e the magnetic helicity dissipation
  - Dissipation term identical for relative and classical magnetic helicity
- Helicity-conservation estimation method is completely reconnectionmodel independent

11/04/16 - IUTAM Symposium - E. Pariat

# Helicity conservation



<sup>11/04/16 -</sup> IUTAM Symposium - E. Pariat



# **Conclusion**

- Recent theoretical & numerical advances now allow the correct estimation of helicity in 3D numerical data sets.
- Estimations of the helicity conservation on an impulsive solar active like events (solar coronal jet).
  - Independent of reconnection models
  - Using several general gauges.
  - As conjectured, magnetic helicity is very well conserved
- Forty years after, the Taylor conjecture can now be numerically tested in general configurations, using typical numerical data sets
- Study and characterization of magnetic helicity in solar atmosphere: HELISOL







HELIS





# Thanks for your attention

Advertisement: - 1 PhD position - 2-years post-doc position funded through HELISOL project